

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/721,531	11/25/2003	George H. Hofmann	AD6935 USNA	5344	
23906	7590 02/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
E I DU PON	NT DE NEMOURS AND (ASINOVSKY, OLGA			
	TENT RECORDS CENTER ILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCA	ASTER PIKE		1711		
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805	·	DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				-			
	Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
	10	/721,531	HOFMANN, GEOF	RGE H.			
Office Action Su	mmary Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
	Olg	ga Asinovsky	1711				
The MAILING DATE of to Period for Reply	his communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extendee Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37	ROM THE MAILING DATE er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). late of this communication. the maximum statutory period will app d period for reply will, by statute, cause in three months after the mailing date	OF THIS COMMUNICATIO In no event, however, may a reply be to bly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on 09 .lanua	rv 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This acti						
· <u> </u>	•—		osecution as to the	merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s	is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are all	owed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are reje							
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	jected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject	ect to restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Application Papers				-			
9) ☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a) accepted	d or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request	hat any objection to the draw	ing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing shee	t(s) including the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	None of: the priority documents have	ve been received.	, , , , ,				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ve been received in Applicat		O4			
·	fied copies of the priority d e International Bureau (PC	ocuments have been receiv CT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89		4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTC	-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

There is no amendment in the response after non-final action.

The rejection of claims 1-18 under 112, 2nd paragraph is withdrawn because the phrase "crosslinking polyvinylbutyral (PVBX)" in claim 1 can include any source for crosslinking PVB. Claim 1 can include any type of PVB including modified or non-modified PVB, since any type of PBV works within the same expectation for obtaining the similar result.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-12, 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lenox et al U.S. Patent 6,921,791.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 01/09/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument is That Lenox does not disclose "use of a cross-linked PVB composition (PVBX)", page 3. Specifically, the argument is that the PVB "diluent" in Lenox invention is not cross-linked or reacted with the other components of the blend.
- 4. Lenox discloses and claims a thermoplastic elastomer composition, the thermoplastic elastomer being the <u>reaction product</u> of a <u>dynamically vulcanized blend</u> consisting of (a) at least one epoxidized elastomer, (b) at least one ionomer such as an ethylene/(meth)acrylic acid copolymer and a diluent polymer (c) that can be selected

Art Unit: 1711

such as polyvinyl butyral, claim 1 at column 8, column 2, line15, and column 3, line 37. The ionomer copolymer such as an ethylene/(meth)acrylic acid copolymer is readable in the present claims 5, 13 for being at least a "functional equivalent" of polycarboxylic acids. Lenox is clearly disclosed "reaction product" and a "dynamically vulcanized blend". The process condition for obtaining the thermoplastic elastomer in Lenox invention is sufficient for producing homogeneous thermoplastic elastomer being the reaction product. The statements "reaction product" and "dynamically vulcanized" are within the scope of a "crosslinked polyvinylbutyral" in the present claim 1 and "crosslinking reaction" in the present claim 12. The diluent polymer=polyvinyl butyral can be present in the amount from 5 to 80wt.% that is readable in the present claims 2-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hofmann U.S. Patent 6,506,835.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 01/09/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's argument is that "carboxylic acid functionality typically reacts with hydroxyl functionality only under the influence of some sort of catalyst," page
- 4. There is no evidence that such reaction may occur in Hofmann invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,531

Art Unit: 1711

8. The ethylene-based copolymer compatibilizer having carboxyl-functionality can include ethylene/vinyl acetate copolymer and ethylene/alkyl (meth)acrylic acid copolymer, col. 2, lines 66-67 and col. 3, lines 1-31 and 45-67 are readable for being a

Page 4

copolymers enhances the physical properties of the resulting polymer. In the process

cross-linking agents in the present claims 5 and 13. The acid functionality of these

for making all ingredients were added to the mixer except the PVC. After the mix

appeared to be homogeneous, the PVC was added, col. 5, lines 48-51. The process

condition is readable in the present claims 12, 15 and 16. Hofmann does not use term

"chemical reaction", however, upon the process condition in the reference's invention a

"chemical reaction" is occurred because reference discloses the analogous ingredients

and the analogous process condition.

9. Claims 6-8, 13-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenox et al U.S. Patent 6,921,791 as applied to claims 1-5, 9-12, 15 and 17-18 above, and further in view of Hofmann U.S. patent 6,506,835.

Any additional thermoplastic polymer would be expected in Lenox invention, therefore, a PVC in Hofmann invention can be used to modify the thermoplastic elastomer in Lenox for producing a product having desired physical properties.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1711

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,531

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

() () February 19, 2006 Olga Asinovsky Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700